


Journal
of
Northwest Atlantic
Fishery Science



Volume 20
September 1996

Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization
Dartmouth, Canada

Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science



Volume 20
Special Issue

North Atlantic Fishery Management Systems:
A Comparison of Management Methods and
Resource Trends

R. G. Halliday and A. T. Pinhorn

Printed and Distributed by:
Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization
P. O. Box 638, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia
Canada B2Y 3Y9


September, 1996

Foreword

The idea of a series of invited papers for publication in the NAFO Journal was first raised by the Standing Committee on Publications of the NAFO Scientific Council in 1985 as one way to enhance the scope of the Journal and to stimulate broader interest in it. The present paper, which documents the development of regulatory systems for North Atlantic fisheries from establishment of the international commissions to the present day, is very much the kind of broad review paper that the Standing Committee had hoped to attract. This paper should be of use not only to scientists but also to fishery administrators and others trying to understand the management of fisheries, how the present juncture was arrived at, and what approaches may hold promise for the future.

September, 1996

T. Amaratunga
Assistant Executive Secretary
Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization
P. O. Box 638
Dartmouth, Nova Scotia
Canada B2Y 3Y9



Dedication

This year, Dr A. W. H. Needler celebrates his 90th birthday. It is a great pleasure to mark the occasion by dedicating this review to him in recognition of his immense contribution as scientist, administrator, and diplomat, to the management of North Atlantic fisheries.

R. G. Halliday and A. T. Pinhorn

Contents

Foreword	iii
Dedication	v
Acknowledgements	1
Abstract	3
Introduction	5
Conventions and Methodology	6
International Fishery Management: Pre-200 Mile Limits	
Historical Overview	9
The Permanent Commission and Northeast Atlantic Fisheries Commission (NEAFC)	
– Authority, Organization and Scope	11
– Regulatory Actions	14
– Surveillance and Compliance	16
The International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (ICNAF)	
– Authority, Organization and Scope	17
– Regulatory Actions	18
– Surveillance and Compliance	19
Management in National Fishery Zones	
Introduction	
Canada	
– Fishing Limits	21
– Management Institutions	25
– Management Objectives and Strategies	26
– Regulatory Actions	27
– Surveillance and Compliance	29
– Resource Trends	30
The European Union	
– Fishing Limits	34
– Management Institutions	34
– Management Objectives and Strategies	36
– Regulatory Actions	38
– Surveillance and Compliance	40
– Resource Trends	42
The Faroe Islands	
– Fishing Limits	44
– Management Institutions	45
– Management Objectives and Strategies	45
– Regulatory Actions	46
– Surveillance and Compliance	49
– Resource Trends	49
Greenland	
– Fishing Limits	49
– Management Institutions	49

– Management Objectives and Strategies	50
– Regulatory Actions	51
– Surveillance and Compliance	52
– Resource Trends	52
Iceland	
– Fishing Limits	54
– Management Institutions	56
– Management Objectives and Strategies	56
– Regulatory Actions	57
– Surveillance and Compliance	59
– Resource Trends	61
Norway	
– Fishing Limits	62
– Management Institutions	65
– Management Objectives and Strategies	65
– Regulatory Actions	66
– Surveillance and Compliance	70
– Resource Trends	71
The United States of America	
– Fishing Limits	71
– Management Institutions	72
– Management Objectives and Strategies	74
– Regulatory Actions	75
– Surveillance and Compliance	80
– Resource Trends	80
International Fishery Management: Post-200 Mile Limits	
The New Northeast Atlantic Fisheries Commission (NEAFC)	
– Authority, Organization and Scope	83
– Regulatory Actions	84
Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO)	
– Authority, Organization and Scope	85
– Regulatory Actions	89
– Surveillance and Compliance	89
– Resource Trends	91
Discussion and Conclusions	
Institutions	93
Objectives	95
Harvesting Strategies and Tactics	98
Enforcement and Compliance	104
Resource Trends	106
General Conclusions	110
References	
Standard Report Series	113
General References	114
Appendix Tables	119

Acknowledgements

The help and encouragement of a great many people were essential to bringing this review to completion. We depended most heavily on the following for information about their management systems: European Union – W. Brugge, the late M. Holden, E. Lopez-Veiga and H. Schmiegelow; Faroe – K. Hoydal; Greenland – S. Horsted and H. Lassen; Iceland – J. Jakobsson, J. Jónasson, S. Shopka and G. Thorsteinsson; Norway– P. Gullestad, O. Nakken and O. Ulltang; United States of America – E. Anderson and V. Anthony. Reviews of an earlier draft of this paper, in part or in total, were provided by E. Anderson, C. Cooper (Canada), M. Holden, S. Horsted, J. Jakobsson, O. Nakken and K. Zwanenburg (Canada). Graphics support was provided by A. Cosgrove, and typing by W. Farrell, both of Bedford Institute of Oceanography, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada.